

Policy Title

Public Engagement Policy

Date:

June 5

Resolution No.

1355/18

Policy Statement:

The Summer Village of Jarvis Bay recognizes that decisions, of direct or significant impact on the public, are improved when citizens and other stakeholder groups, participate, and engage in the process. The Summer Village of Jarvis Bay commits to transparent and inclusive decision-making processes that are responsive, accountable and practical.

Purpose:

To establish the foundation for the Summer Village of Jarvis Bay's public engagement/participation procedures, and to ensure the associated public participation tools are in place, and reviewed annually, as a means of improving the quality of municipal decisions, increasing the role of public input in municipal governance, and generating public knowledge to support the Summer Village of Jarvis Bay's long-term visions.

The Public Participation Policy is in addition to, and does not modify or replace statutory public hearing requirements in the Municipal Government Act.

Definitions:

- a) Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) is the Chief Administrative Officer appointed by Bylaw for the Summer Village of Jarvis Bay.
- b) Communications Officer: The person, designated by the Chief Administrative Officer, to oversee the communication functions of the municipality, including public engagement activities.
- c) Employee: is a person who is filling a position for the Summer Village of Jarvis Bay. This includes Full Time, Casual, Probationary, Temporary, Part Time and Volunteers.
- d) Employer: is the Summer Village of Jarvis Bay.
- e) Manager/Immediate Supervisor: is the person accountable to the Chief Administrative Officer who is designated to supervise employees.
- f) Participation: means engagement, and includes a variety of non-statutory opportunities where the public/stakeholders received information and/or provides input to the municipality.
- g) Public: Any individual or group who many have an interest in a topic or issue of the Summer Village of Jarvis Bay. Topics or issues of the Summer Village of Jarvis Bay may or may not directly impact the public.
- h) Spectrum of Engagement: Identifies engagement approaches defined by the International Association of Public Participation. Five different degrees of public involvement are identified: Inform, Consult, Involve, Collaborate and Empower.



- Stakeholder: Any individual or group who is impacted or has a specific interest in topic or issue of the Summer Village of Jarvis Bay. Stakeholders may include residents, non-residents, groups, organizations and/or Summer Village staff.
- j) Summer Village: is the municipal government of Jarvis Bay.

Responsibilities:

- a) Council:
 - Approve and adopt policy;
 - ii. Consider and approve any Public Participation Plans that identifies an "Empower" level of engagement.
- b) Chief Administrative Officer:
 - i. Approve procedures;
 - Administer the policy and procedures, ensuring the Policy applies to all aspects of municipal planning, policy making and project initiatives, community development, internal relations, customer service, mandated public participation processes, and volunteer participation;
 - iii. Advise Divisions in the correct application of the policy and procedures;
 - iv. Approve amendments to the procedures;
 - v. Serve as an advocate for public engagement;
 - vi. Ensure the policy and procedures are accessible to the public for inspection.
- c) Supervisors:
 - i. Ensure that all Division employees adhere to the policy;
 - ii. Review, and sign off on Participation assessments;
 - iii. Administer the policy within the Division;
 - iv. Recommend changes in policy and procedures;
 - v. Ensure appropriate participation plans are implemented;
 - vi. Evaluate and measure the effectiveness of public participation plans;
 - vii. Assist the Director in ensuring adherence to this policy;
 - viii. Budget accordingly for policy requirements;
 - ix. Complete participation assessments.
- d) Communications Officer:
 - i. Signs off on participation assessments and public participation plans;
 - ii. Coordinates/assists with activities identified in the public engagement plans;
 - iii. Assists with the review and evaluation of the public participation activities.

Public Participation Standards:

To encourage public participation, the Summer Village of Jarvis Bay is committed to:



- a) Providing timely and accessible information to the public;
- b) Evaluating engagement processes, measuring the outcomes, and communicating the results to the public;
- c) Providing two-way communication opportunities in a fair and respectful open process, which includes clarification of the roles, responsibilities, and procedures to all parties involved.
- d) Continuously improving the ways in which the Summer Village of Jarvis Bay engages in the public, and remaining current with public engagement best practices;
- e) Making every reasonable effort to reach, involve, and hear from the public.

Public Participation Management:

- a) The Summer Village of Jarvis Bay continues to adhere to the requirements and expectations for petitions, public meetings, public hearings, and public participation, as identified within the Alberta Municipal Government Act.
- b) The Summer Village of Jarvis Bay commits to considering public participation needs during the "project plan" making, and budget making processes.
- c) Public participation needs are also considered during the development of bylaws, or mayor bylaw amendments, and where public participation activities are not mandated through other municipal policy or law, and where any project, service, or initiative substantially impacts members of the public.
- d) The Summer Village of Jarvis Bay maintains a public participation toolkit for which municipal staff must apply when undertaking any of the aforementioned activities.
- e) The Summer Village staff will coordinate the management of design, planning, implementation and evaluation of public participation activities with the Communications Department.
- f) The Summer Village of Jarvis Bay commits to a final assessment of the success of all participation activities.

Approach to Determining Level of Public Participation

The Summer Village of Jarvis Bay's Strategic Plan is developed by Council, and reviewed annually. The Strategic Plan determines a vision for the Summer Village, which includes:

• Informed citizens that are active contributors to the growth and development of our progressive community.

The Strategic Plan also identifies guiding principles for which to govern by:

- Transparency
- Fiscal responsibility
- Public engagement
- Informed decision making



- Continuous improvement
- Collaborative interaction

Seeking information, becoming informed, providing meaningful and respectful input, and becoming involved in municipal processes is essential in development of our community. Permanent opportunities to keep up to date with the Summer Village information and to provide input exist.

The Summer Village of Jarvis Bay utilizes the five stages of engagement as identified by the *International Association for Public Participation's Spectrum of Public Participation*. These five stages of engagement identify the variety of approaches the public may engage with the municipality, are determined during the initial public participation design and planning management processes. Each stage is inclusive of stages prior.



Inform	Consult	Involve	Collaborate	Empower
--------	---------	---------	-------------	---------

GOALS

Provide the public	Obtain Public	Works directly	Partner with the	Place final and
with balanced and	feedback on	with the public	Public in each	whole decision-
objective	analysis	throughout the	aspect of the	making
information to	alternatives,	process to ensure	decisions including	responsibility in
assist in the	and/or decisions.	that public	the development	the hands of the
understanding,		concerns and	of alternatives and	public.
alternatives,		aspirations are	identification of	
opportunities,		consistently	the preferred	
and/or solutions		understood and	outcome.	
to a particular		considered.		
subject matter.				

OUR PROMISE

Provide timely	Keep the Public	Work with the	Look to the public	Implement what
accessible	informed, while we	public to ensure the	for advice and	the public
information to keep	listed,	public concerns and	innovation in	determines, and
the public	acknowledge, and	aspirations are	formulating an	provide feedback
informed.	update the public	directly reflected in	outcome or decision	on how public input
	on how their input	the alternatives	to incorporate the	influenced the
	has influenced an	developed, and	public's advice and	outcome.
	outcome.	provide feedback	recommendations	
		on how public input	into that outcome	
		influenced the	to the maximum	
		outcome.	extent possible, and	
			provide feedback on	
			how public input	
			influenced the	
			outcome.	

EXAMPLES

(may or may not include, but not limited to)

*FACT SHEET
*WEBSITE
*SOCIAL MEDIA
*OPEN HOUSES
*ADVERTSIING
*PRINT MATERIAL

*PUBLIC COMMENT
*FOCUS GROUPS
*SURVEYS
*PUBLIC HEARINGS
*ONLINE FEEDBACK
*DOT-MOCRACY

*WORKSHOPS
*DELIVERATIVE POLLS
*VOLUNTEERING *CLUB ATTENDANCE

*CITIZEN COMMITTEE
*CONSENSUS BUILDING
*PARTICPATORY DECISION MAKING *CHARETTES

*SPECIALIZED CITIZEN COMMITTEE
*BALLOTS
*DELEGATED DECISION MAKING

*COMMUNITY SPIRIT GRANT PROGRAM
*COMMUNITY INITIATIVE

Attachment A: Internal toolkit for the design, planning, implementation, and evaluation of public participation activities.

Attachment B: Project Plan template



Public Expectation Assessment

Assessment Questions	Very	Low	Moderate	High	Very
•	Low				High
What is the legally required level of public					
participation?					
To what extent do staff believe that the public could					
help improve the outcome of the issue or					
opportunity?					
What level do staff perceive public interest in the					
issue or opportunity?					
What is the potential for public to influence the					
decision-making process?					
What level of media interest do you anticipate?					
What is the likelihood that decision-makers will give					
full consideration to public input?					
What levels of resources are likely to be available to					
support public participation?					
What is the anticipated level for political controversy?					
Count the number of checks in each column.					
Multiply the number of checks by the weight.	X1	X2	Х3	X4	X5
Enter column score.					
Add total of all five column scores.					
Divide total score by the number of questions. (/8)					
Average score:					

Very Low to Low (1-2): Work with key members of the public and special interest groups to identify a comprehensive *information* program to satisfy public concerns.

Low to Moderate (2-3): Public participation is probably a good idea. Consider how the *consult* level will work with the issues and interests of the public.

Moderate to High (3-4): Consider participation at least at the *consult* level and probably the *involve* level.

High to Very High (4-5): Evaluate how public issues and interests and internal considerations can best be accommodated at the involve level or even more to the *collaborate* or *empower* levels.

Senior Manager:	
Communications Officer:	



Public Expectation Assessment

Assessment Questions	Very Low	Low	Moderate	High	Very High
What is the probably level of difficulty in addressing	-				
the issue/opportunity?					
What is the potential for public outrage related to the					
issue/opportunity/project?					
How important are the potential impacts of the					
issue/opportunity to the public?					
How much do major stakeholders care about the					
issue/opportunity to be addressed and decision to be					
made?					
What degree of engagement does the public appear					
to want?					
Count the number of checks in each column.					
Multiply the number of checks by the weight.	X1	X2	Х3	X4	X5
Enter column score.					
Add total of all five column scores.					
Divide total score by the number of questions. (/8)					
Average score:					

Very Low to Low (1-2): Work with key members of the public and special interest groups to identify a comprehensive *information* program to satisfy public concerns.

Low to Moderate (2-3): Public participation is probably a good idea. Consider how the *consult* level will work with the issues and interests of the public.

Moderate to High (3-4): Consider participation at least at the *consult* level and probably the *involve* level.

High to Very High (4-5): Evaluate how public issues and interests and internal considerations can best be accommodated at the involve level or even more to the *collaborate* or *empower* levels.

Senior Manager:	
Communications Officer:	



Public Expectation Assessment

Expectations	Inform	Consult	Involve	Collaborate	Empower
What level of public participation was					
forecast by the Summer Village?					
What level of public participation does the					
public desire and/or expect?					
What level of public participation do					
managers and staff support?					
What level of public participation do					
decision-makers support?					

1.	Spectrum	of Engagement	Level identified:
- .	Specti and	OI LIISUSCIIICIIL	LC VCI IGCIICIIICG

2.	Are there benefits for some levels of the participation process to be at a higher level on the
	spectrum, and if so, what may they be?

Senior Manager:	
_	
nmunications Officer:	





Public Participation Evaluation

	rubile raiticipation Evaluation
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
Describe the project, participation process, outcomes,	
target audiences, and overview of results.	
target dudiences, and overview of results.	
Background	
Provide an overview of the issues or decision to be	
made.	
Engagement Process	
Describe the number and range of participants, the	
quality of participation, techniques and tools (in-person	
and digital), used in the process.	
Findings	
Provide an overview of the results for each participation	
technique and tool applied. This should be a summary	
of the quantitative and qualitative data collected during	
the process.	
Results and Recommendation	
Provide an analysis of the findings and results.	
6	
Appendices	
Share any available data collected.	Senior Manager:
Share any available data collected.	
	Communications Officer:



Participation Level Selection

Scope						3	4	5	
Have a size or complexity that can be considered significant?									
Potentially impact	a significant numbe	r of members of the p	oublic?						
Potentially impact	people located in a	number of different a	reas within the						
municipality?									
Affect a wide rang	ge of the public?								
Complexity									
Considered unique	e and/or challenging	?							
Require a number	of stages and/or co	mponents?							
See members of the public have a strong and differing opinions for the									
project or issue/opportunity?									
Concern									
Connected to any significant past issue or poor relationships with members									
of the public?									
Create or increase any health or safety risk?									
Result in an unfair	advantage (for exar	mple: create "winner:	s")?						
Be an emotional or moral "hot button?									
Resulted in tension or controversy in past engagements?									
Impact taxes or fees collected by the municipality?									
Impact									
Decrease property values or increase taxation levels or fees?									
Create undesirable aesthetic changes (view, odour, noise, etc.)?									
Interfere with daily lifestyle and habitual patterns of people (loss of access,									
congestion, restriction of activity, etc.)?									
Interfere with rights or entitlements for certain community members									
(existing or perceived)?									
Count the number of checks in each column.									
Multiply the number of checks by the weight.					X2	Х3	X4	X5	
Enter column score.									
Add total of all five	e column scores.								
Divide by 17 to de	etermine the averag	e score.							
			Average score:						
Inform	Consult	Involve Collaborate			Empower				
Score:	Score:	Score: Score:			Score:				
1.0 – 1.9	1.0 – 1.9 2.0 – 2.9 3.0 – 3.9 4.0-5.0					Generally above 4.0 and required to obtain approval			
					from Council				

Senior Manager:	
Communications Officer:	



Summer Village of Jarvis Bay Public Participation Policy Page | 11

Communications Officer:

					Public	: Participatio	n Plan
PROJECT NAME:							
PROJECT MANAGER/LE	AD DEPARTMEN	T:					
OTHER PARTNERS (if ap	plicable):						
DECISION-MAKER(S):							
PARTICIPATION PLAN B	UDGET:						
Project Timeline: Description of phases and date Decision to be made.	for						
Participation Outcomes: Identify S.M.A.R.T. outcomes/o	bjectives						
			•				
Internal Target Participants			External Tar	get Participants			
Inform Co	onsult	Involve		Collaborate		Empower	
Techniques and Tools for Internal Participants		Techniques and Tools for External Participants					
COMMUNICATION PLAI	N						
Target Audience	Key Messages		Timelines		Communication Tools		
DATA MANAGEMENT Describe how public input will be	recorded/managed a	nd integrate	d into the plar	nning process.			
Identify tools related to the level identified with the Communication							l have