## SUMMER VILLAGE OF NORGLENWOLD SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD FEBRUARY 8, 2021 RECORD OF HEARING AND DECISION

PRESENT	Chair: Member-at-large: Member at Large: CAO: Junior Development Officer: Recording Secretary: Appellant: Applicant Representative:	Jeff Ludwig via Zoom Toby Lampard via Zoom Allan MacPherson via Zoom Tanner Evans via Zoom Kara Kashuba via Zoom Teri Musseau Kent Lyle via Zoom Curtis Mitchell via Zoom
CALL TO ORDER	Chair Ludwig called the hearing to order at 1:17 p.m.	
PURPOSE OF HEARING	The purpose of this hearing is to hear an appeal received from Kent Lyle, adjacent landowner, on January 14 <sup>th</sup> , 2021, appealing the development permit #201652 issued November 27 <sup>th</sup> , 2020, by the Municipal Planning Commission, for a detached garage with guest house, at 313 Honeymoon Drive, Lot 4 Block 2 Plan 350HW, in the Summer Village of Norglenwold.	
APPEAL FILED AND NOTICE GIVEN	Pursuant to Section 686(1) of the Municipal Government Act, the appeal was not filed within the 21-day appeal period following the November 27 <sup>th</sup> , 2020, issuance of the development permit. Section 686(2) of the Municipal Government Act requires that the appeal hearing be convened within 30 days of the receipt of notice of appeal. The appeal was received on January 14 <sup>th</sup> , 2021. The appeal hearing is being convened 25 days within receipt of the notice of appeal.	
DEADLINE FOR DECISION	Pursuant to Section 687(2) of the Municipal Government Act, the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board must give its decision in writing together with reasons for the decision within 15 days of concluding the hearing.	
OBJECTIONS TO MEMBERS OF THE APPEAL BOARD	The Members of the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board are appointed in accordance with the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board Bylaw #204-18.	
	Members of the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board were asked if they felt they should disqualify themselves from hearing the appeal before them and no one felt they needed to disqualify themselves.	
	•	bjection to any of the members of the eal Board present hearing the case. He had hearing his case.
DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE FINDING OF FACTS	The members of the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board were asked if they had sought, been given or reviewed any evidence prior to the hearing.	
	No members had any prior knowledge of the appeal prior to the hearing.	
APPEAL LETTER READ		ubdivision and Development Appeal Board om Mr. Lyle on January 14 <sup>th</sup> , 2021, into

HEARING PROCEDURES	Chair Ludwig reviewed the procedures to be followed for the hearing.
JURISDICTION	Tanner Evans, CAO, provided his report to the Board on duties and jurisdiction.
RECESS FOR JURISDICTION	Chair Ludwig called for a short recess while the board discussed jurisdiction at 1:38 p.m.
	Chair Ludwig reconvened the hearing at 2:05 p.m.
DECISION OF THE BOARD ON JURISDICTION	The Subdivision and Development Appeal Board determined it had no jurisdiction to hear the appeal as the appeal was received 17 days after the appeal period ended.
	The hearing was adjourned at 2:06 p.m.
ADJOURNMENT	DATED AT THE TOWN OF SYLVAN LAKE THIS 8 <sup>TH</sup> DAY OF FEBRUARY 2021.

## THE SUMMER VILLAGE OF NORGLENWOLD SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD

JEFF Ludwig SDAB Chairman